

Entanglement entropy and Schmidt numbers in quantum networks of coupled quantum oscillator

M. A. Jafarizadeh^{a,*}; S. Nami^{a,†}; F. Eghbalifam^{a,‡}

^aDepartment of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

July 16, 2014

Abstract

We investigate the entanglement of the ground state in the quantum networks that their nodes are considered as quantum harmonic oscillators. To this aim, the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy between two arbitrary partitions of a network, are calculated.

In partitioning an arbitrary graph into two parts there are some nodes in each parts which are not connected to the nodes of the other part. So these nodes of each part, can be in distinct subsets. Therefore the graph separates into four subsets. The nodes of the first and last subsets are those which are not connected to the nodes of other

*E-mail:jafarizadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir

†E-mail:S.Nami@tabrizu.ac.ir

‡E-mail:F.Egbali@tabrizu.ac.ir

part. In theorem I, by using generalized Schur complement method in these four subsets, we prove that all graphs which their connections between all two alternative subsets are complete, have the same entropy. A large number of graphs satisfy this theorem. Then the entanglement entropy in the limit of large coupling and large size of system, is investigated in these graphs.

One of important quantities about partitioning, is conductance of graph. The conductance of graph is considered in some various graphs. In these graphs we compare the conductance of graph and the entanglement entropy.

1 Introduction

Entanglement is a quantum mechanical phenomenon in which particles that are arbitrary distances apart can influence each other instantly. The unique relationship between entangled particles makes them very useful for information processing. With the advent of quantum information theory, entanglement was recognized as a resource, enabling tasks like quantum cryptography [1], quantum teleportation [2] or measurement based quantum computation [3]. Since entanglement has become regarded as such an important resource, there is a need for a means of quantifying it.

For the case of bipartite entanglement, a recent exhaustive review was written by the Horodecki family [4] and entanglement measures have been reviewed in detail by Virmani and Plenio [5]. One of the operational entanglement criteria is the Schmidt decomposition [6-8]. The Schmidt decomposition is a very good tool to study entanglement of bipartite pure states. The Schmidt number provides an important variable to classify entanglement. The entanglement of a partly entangled pure state can be naturally parametrized by its entropy of entanglement, defined as the von Neumann entropy, or equivalently as the Shannon entropy of the squares of the Schmidt coefficients [6,8]. L. Amico et al [9], reviewed the properties of the entanglement in many-body systems. An important class of works analyzing the entanglement in many body system considered a bipartition of the system dividing it in two distinct regions A and B . If the total system is in a pure state then a measure of the entanglement between A and B is given by the von Neumann entropy S associated to the reduced density matrix of one of the two blocks $\rho_A(\rho_B)$. Dealing with higher dimensional space of the local degrees of freedom generally involves complications which are not tamable within the current knowledge about entanglement. The Peres-Horodecki criterion, is not sufficient already for two three-level systems. The situation simplifies if only so called *Gaussian states* of the harmonic oscillator modes are considered [10-15]. With gaussian states, it has been possible to implement certain

quantum tasks as quantum teleportation, quantum cryptography and quantum computation with fantastic experimental success. The importance of gaussian states is two-fold; firstly, its structural mathematical description makes them much more amenable than any other continuous variable system (Continuous variable systems are those described by canonical conjugated coordinates x and p endowed with infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces). Secondly, its production, manipulation and detection with current optical technology can be done with a very high degree of accuracy and control. In [16] the authors quantified the amount of information that a single element of a quantum network shares with the rest of the system. They considered a network of quantum harmonic oscillators and analyzed its ground state to compute the entropy of entanglement that vacuum fluctuations creates between single nodes and the rest of the network by using the Von Neumann entropy.

In this work we quantify the entanglement entropy between two parts of the network. To this aim, we compute the vacuum state of bosonic modes harmonically coupled through the specific adjacency matrix of a given network. In the section II, first we describe the Hamiltonian of our model in subsection 2.1. Also we demonstrate the Schmidt decomposition and entanglement entropy in 2.2.

In section III, The entanglement entropy and the Schmidt numbers of the ground state wave function between two parts of the network, are calculated. It is performed by using some local transformations in three stage.

In the section IV, the generalized Schur complement method is used for calculating the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy. In partitioning a graph into two parts, an arbitrary graph with every kind of cut, can be shown as a graph with four subsets. This is performed by separating the nodes of each part into two subsets. In each part, the nodes which are connected to the nodes of the other part, constitute a subset and the nodes which are not connected to the nodes of other part, constitute the other subset. So the graph is composed from four subsets that the nodes of first and last subsets are those which don't have

any connection with the nodes of other part (Fig II). We use the generalized Schur complement method in the potential matrices of graphs to eliminate the connection matrices of first and last subsets. Then one can calculate the entanglement entropy between two parts of graph. We give a theorem about entanglement entropy in spacial graphs. In this theorem we prove that all graphs which their connections between all two alternative subsets are complete, have the same entropy. Then two corollaries are resulted from Theorem I. In example I, the entanglement entropy between two parts of Complete graph is calculated analytically by using corollary I. In example II, the entanglement entropy between two equal parts of path graph is calculated analytically by applying generalized Schur complement method. In example III, the entanglement entropy of the Barbell graph is considered. It is an important example which satisfies the Theorem I. In example IV, we calculate the entanglement entropy of lollipop graph which satisfies the corollary II.

In section V, the conductance of graph, is defined. Then the entanglement entropy and conductance of graph are compared in some kinds of graphs. Also from the amount of entanglement entropies in the examples of this section, we found that the entanglement entropy has direction relation with the number of connections between two parts. When two kinds of partitions have the same number of connections between two parts, the entanglement entropy has direction relation with the number of Schmidt number for those partitions.

In section VI, we investigate the entanglement entropy in the limit of large coupling and large size of system.

Finally in section VI, we compare the result of our method with previous work in the case that the entanglement entropy between one node and the rest of the system, is considered. We give the criticism for the formula of previous work [9].

2 preliminaries

2.1 The model and Hamiltonian

We consider nodes as identical quantum oscillators, interacting as dictated by the network topology encoded in the Laplacian L . The Laplacian of a network is defined from the Adjacency matrix as $L_{ij} = k_i\delta_{ij} - A_{ij}$, where $k_i = \sum_j A_{ij}$ is the connectivity of node i , i.e., the number of nodes connected to i . The Hamiltonian of the quantum network thus reads:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(P^T P + X^T(I + 2gL)X) \quad (2-1)$$

here I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, g is the coupling strength between connected oscillators while $p^T = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$ and $x^T = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ are the operators corresponding to the momenta and positions of nodes respectively, satisfying the usual commutation relations: $[x, p^T] = i\hbar I$ (we set $\hbar = 1$ in the following) and the matrix $V = I + 2gL$ is the potential matrix. Then the ground state of this Hamiltonian is:

$$\psi(X) = \frac{(\det(I + 2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(X^T(I + 2gL)X)) \quad (2-2)$$

Where the $A_g = \frac{(\det(I + 2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}}$ is the normalization factor for wave function. The elements of the potential matrix in terms of entries of adjacency matrix is

$$V_{ij} = (1 + 2g\kappa_i)\delta_{ij} - 2gA_{ij}$$

2.2 Schmidt decomposition and entanglement entropy

Any bipartite pure state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \in H = H_A \otimes H_B$ can be decomposed, by choosing an appropriate basis, as

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i |a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \quad (2-3)$$

where $1 \leq m \leq \min\{\dim(H_A); \dim(H_B)\}$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 = 1$. Here $|a_i\rangle$ ($|b_i\rangle$) form a part of an orthonormal basis in H_A (H_B). The positive numbers α_i are called the Schmidt coefficients of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ and the number m is called the Schmidt rank of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$.

Entropy of entanglement is defined as the von Neumann entropy of either ρ_A or ρ_B :

$$E = -Tr\rho_A \log_2 \rho_A = Tr\rho_B \log_2 \rho_B = -\sum_i \alpha_i^2 \log_2 \alpha_i^2 \quad (2-4)$$

3 Entanglement entropy between two parts of a network

we want to introduce a method to quantify the entanglement entropy between two arbitrary parts of a network. first we divide the potential matrix of the system into two parts, So the potential matrix $(I + 2gL)$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix} \quad (3-5)$$

where the size of block A is $m \times m$, C is $(N-m) \times (N-m)$ and B is $m \times (N-m)$. We assume that the vector X be decomposed of two sets X, Y (i.e., $X = (X|Y) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{N-m})$).

We know that any local operation dosen't change the entanglement between the nodes, so we apply some of these operations to calculate the entanglement entropy for different lattices easily. First we want to diagonalize the blocks A and C , to this aim we apply the local rotations O_A and O_C to the matrix of (3-5), resulting as:

$$\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{(det(I + 2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}) \begin{pmatrix} D_A & O_A^\dagger B O_C \\ O_C^\dagger B^T O_A & D_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \end{pmatrix}) \quad (3-6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} &= O_A^\dagger x \\ \hat{y} &= O_C^\dagger y \end{aligned}$$

We can define

$$\hat{B} = O_A^\dagger B O_C$$

then the wave function becomes

$$\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = A_g \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}) \begin{pmatrix} D_A & \hat{B} \\ \hat{B}^T & D_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \end{pmatrix}) \quad (3-7)$$

In the next stage, the blocks D_A and D_C can be transformed to Identity matrices by rescaling the variables $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$:

$$\tilde{x} = D_x^{1/2} \hat{x}$$

$$\tilde{y} = D_y^{1/2} \hat{y}$$

so the ground state wave function is transformed to

$$\psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = A_g \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{x} \quad \tilde{y}) \begin{pmatrix} I & \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{B}^T & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (3-8)$$

where $\tilde{B} = D_x^{-1/2} \hat{B} D_y^{-1/2}$

In the third stage, we should calculate the singular value decomposition of matrix $\tilde{B}$:

$$U \tilde{B} V^\dagger = D_B$$

$$U \tilde{x} = x'$$

$$V \tilde{y} = y'$$

The ground state wave function after this local operation is:

$$\psi(x', y') = A_g \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x' \quad y') \begin{pmatrix} U & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{B}^T & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U^\dagger & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & V^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (3-9)$$

$$\psi(x', y') = A_g \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x' \quad y') \begin{pmatrix} I & U \tilde{B} V^\dagger \\ V \tilde{B}^T U^\dagger & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (3-10)$$

The singular value decomposition(SVD), transforms matrix $\tilde{B}$ to the diagonal matrix D_B , so diagonal blocks of the matrix in equation (3-5) will be diagonal, then the final form of wave function is:

$$\psi(q_1^x, q_2^x, \dots, q_m^x, q_1^y, q_2^y, \dots, q_{N-m}^y) =$$

$$A_g \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q_1^x, q_2^x, \dots, q_m^x, q_1^y, q_2^y, \dots, q_{N-m}^y)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & d_m \\ d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_{N-m} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1^x \\ q_2^x \\ \vdots \\ q_m^x \\ q_1^y \\ q_2^y \\ \vdots \\ q_{N-m}^y \end{pmatrix} \quad (3-11)$$

The above equation can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q_1^x, q_2^x, \dots, q_m^x, q_1^y, q_2^y, \dots, q_{N-m}^y) = \\ A_g e^{-\frac{(q_1^x)^2}{2} - \frac{(q_1^y)^2}{2} - d_1 q_1^x q_1^y} \times e^{-\frac{(q_2^x)^2}{2} - \frac{(q_2^y)^2}{2} - d_2 q_2^x q_2^y} \times \dots \times e^{-\frac{(q_m^x)^2}{2} - \frac{(q_m^y)^2}{2} - d_m q_m^x q_m^y} \\ \times e^{-\frac{(q_{m+1}^y)^2}{2}} \times e^{-\frac{(q_{m+2}^y)^2}{2}} \times \dots \times e^{-\frac{(q_{N-m}^y)^2}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3-12)$$

From above equation, it's clear that the node q_i^x is just entangled with q_i^y , so we can use following identity to calculate the schmidt number of this wave function,

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1+t^2}{2(1-t^2)}((q_i^x)^2 + (q_i^y)^2)\right) + \frac{2t}{1-t^2} q_i^x q_i^y = (1-t^2)^{1/2} \sum_n t^n \psi_n(q_i^x) \psi_n(q_i^y) \quad (3-13)$$

In order to calculating the entropy, we apply a change of variable as

$$\begin{aligned} 1-t^2 &= \frac{2}{\nu+1} \\ t^2 &= \frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1} \end{aligned}$$

So the above identity becomes

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}((q_i^x)^2 + (q_i^y)^2)\right) + (\nu^2-1)^{1/2} q_i^x q_i^y = \left(\frac{2}{\nu+1}\right)^{1/2} \sum_n \left(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}\right)^{n/2} \psi_n(q_i^x) \psi_n(q_i^y) \quad (3-14)$$

and the reduced density matrix is

$$\rho = \frac{2}{\nu+1} \sum_n \left(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}\right)^n |n\rangle\langle n| \quad (3-15)$$

the entropy is

$$S(\rho) = - \sum_n p_n \log(p_n) \quad (3-16)$$

where $p_n = \frac{2}{\nu+1} \left(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}\right)^n$

$$\sum_n p_n \log(p_n) = \log\left(\frac{2}{\nu+1}\right) + \langle n \rangle \log\left(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}\right) \quad (3-17)$$

and $\langle n \rangle = \frac{\nu-1}{2}$

$$S(\rho) = \frac{\nu+1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) - \frac{\nu-1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right) \quad (3-18)$$

By comparing the wave function (3-12) and the identity (3-14) and define the scale μ^2 , we conclude that

$$\nu_i = 1 \times \mu^2$$

$$(\nu_i^2 - 1)^{1/2} = -d_i \times \mu^2$$

After some straightforward calculation we obtain

$$\nu_i = \left(\frac{1}{1-d_i^2}\right)^{1/2} \quad (3-19)$$

By above discussion we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{(q_1^x)^2}{2} - \frac{(q_1^y)^2}{2} - d_1 q_1^x q_1^y} &= \sum_n \lambda_{1,n} \psi_n(q_1^x) \psi_n(q_1^y) \\ e^{-\frac{(q_2^x)^2}{2} - \frac{(q_2^y)^2}{2} - d_2 q_2^x q_2^y} &= \sum_n \lambda_{2,n} \psi_n(q_2^x) \psi_n(q_2^y) \\ &\vdots \\ e^{-\frac{(q_m^x)^2}{2} - \frac{(q_m^y)^2}{2} - d_m q_m^x q_m^y} &= \sum_n \lambda_{m,n} \psi_n(q_m^x) \psi_n(q_m^y) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{i,n} = (\frac{2}{\nu_i+1})^{1/2} (\frac{\nu_i-1}{\nu_i+1})^{n/2}$.

Therefore the entropy of each part can be written

$$\begin{aligned} S(\rho_i) &= \frac{\nu_i+1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\nu_i+1}{2}\right) - \frac{\nu_i-1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\nu_i-1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{(\frac{1}{1-d_i^2})^{1/2} + 1}{2} \log\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{1-d_i^2})^{1/2} + 1}{2}\right) - \frac{(\frac{1}{1-d_i^2})^{1/2} - 1}{2} \log\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{1-d_i^2})^{1/2} - 1}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3-20)$$

So the total entropy is

$$S(\rho) = \sum_i S(\rho_i) \quad (3-21)$$

4 Entropy of entanglement by using generalized Schur complement method




FIG I: An example of graph partitioning in a graph

We want to calculate the entanglement entropy between two parts in an arbitrary graph. Suppose there are m (n) nodes in the first (second) part. There are m_1 (n_1) nodes in the first (second) part which are not connected to the nodes of other part. one can separate the nodes of each part m, n into two subsets, so there are four subsets which have m_1, m_2, n_2, n_1 nodes, respectively. An example of this partitioning is shown in figure 1 and 2.




FIG II: The graph in FIG I is separated to four subsets. m_1 nodes in the part (m_1, m_2) are not connected to the nodes of part (n_1, n_2) . Also the n_1 nodes in part (n_1, n_2) are not connected to the nodes of part (m_1, m_2) .

The potential matrix of the system is:

$$V = I + 2gL = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} & V_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & V_{23}^T & V_{33} & V_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{34}^T & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-22)$$

So the wave function will be

$$\psi(x, y, z, w) = A_g \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} & V_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & V_{23}^T & V_{33} & V_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{34}^T & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (4-23)$$

Then by using the Generalized Schur complement theorem, we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} & V_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & V_{23}^T & V_{33} & V_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{34}^T & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ V_{12}^T V_{11}^{-1} & I_{m_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n_2} & V_{34} V_{44}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V}_{22} & V_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & V_{23}^T & \tilde{V}_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{m_1} & V_{11}^{-1} V_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_{44}^{-1} V_{34}^T & I_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-24)$$

Where $\tilde{V}_{22} = V_{22} - V_{12}^T V_{11}^{-1} V_{12}$ and $\tilde{V}_{33} = V_{33} - V_{34} V_{44}^{-1} V_{34}^T$.

It can be shown that by this transformation, the Schmidt numbers and the entropy will be unchanged, because the coordinates are transformed to

$$x' = x + V_{11}^{-1} V_{12} y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y' &= y \\
z' &= z \\
w' &= w + V_{44}^{-1} V_{34}^T z
\end{aligned} \tag{4-25}$$

So the wave function will be

$$\psi(x, y, z, w) = A_g \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} y & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}_{22} & V_{23} \\ V_{23}^T & \tilde{V}_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} + (x+Fy)^T V_{11} (x+Fy) + (w+Gz)^T V_{44} (w+Gz)\right) \tag{4-26}$$

Where $F = V_{11}^{-1} V_{12}$ and $G = V_{44}^{-1} V_{34}^T$. So after using the equation (3-13) it can be written as

$$(1 - t^2)^{1/2} \sum t^n \psi_n(y) \psi_n(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+Fy)^T V_{11} (x+Fy)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(w+Gz)^T V_{44} (w+Gz)} \tag{4-27}$$

The new states will be

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n(y, x) &= \psi_n(y) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+Fy)^T V_{11} (x+Fy)} \\
\psi_n(z, w) &= \psi_n(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(w+Gz)^T V_{44} (w+Gz)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4-28}$$

The new states are orthogonal, because

$$\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+Fy)^T V_{11} (x+Fy)} dx = \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T V_{11} \eta} d\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{m_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m_1} \lambda_i^{11}}}$$

Also

$$\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}(w+Gz)^T V_{44} (w+Gz)} dw = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{n_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i^{44}}} \tag{4-29}$$

4.1 Theorem I

Suppose all graphs which their blocks V_{12} , V_{23} and V_{34} are complete graphs. All of these graphs have the same Schmidt number and the same entanglement entropy.

proof: According to the supposition of the theorem, the blocks V_{12} , V_{23} and V_{34} are complete graphs. then the potential matrix will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & J_{m_1 \times m_2} & 0 & 0 \\ J_{m_2 \times m_1} & V_{22} & J_{m_2 \times n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n_2 \times m_2} & V_{33} & J_{n_2 \times n_1} \\ 0 & 0 & J_{n_1 \times n_2} & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-30)$$

By applying the generalized Schur complement

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} & V_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & V_{23}^T & V_{33} & V_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{34}^T & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ V_{12}^T V_{11}^{-1} & I_{m_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n_2} & V_{34} V_{44}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V}_{22} & V_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & V_{23}^T & \tilde{V}_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{m_1} & V_{11}^{-1} V_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_{44}^{-1} V_{34}^T & I_{n_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-31)$$

Where $\tilde{V}_{22} = V_{22} - V_{12}^T V_{11}^{-1} V_{12}$ and $\tilde{V}_{33} = V_{33} - V_{34} V_{44}^{-1} V_{34}^T$.

These kind of graphs after applying the above transformations will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_{22} - J^T V_{11}^{-1} J & J_{m_2 \times n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n_2 \times m_2} & V_{33} - J V_{44}^{-1} J^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & V_{44} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-32)$$

The left eigenvectors of $J_{m_2 \times n_2}$ are the eigenvectors of $\tilde{A}_{22}$ and the right eigenvectors of $J_{m_2 \times n_2}$ are the eigenvectors of $\tilde{V}_{33}$, so if we rewrite the potential matrix in the basis of eigenvectors of J , then just the first element of matrices $\tilde{V}_{22}$ and $\tilde{V}_{33}$ are effective in the entropy.

Then the first element of $\tilde{V}_{22} = V_{22} - J^T V_{11}^{-1} J$ will be the sum of the first element of V_{22} and $-J_{m_1 \times m_2}^T V_{11}^{-1} J_{m_1 \times m_2}$, but the left eigenvectors of $J_{m_1 \times m_2}$ are the eigenvectors of V_{11} , So only the first element of V_{11} is in the $-J_{m_1 \times m_2}^T V_{11}^{-1} J_{m_1 \times m_2}$. These arguments are true for the $\tilde{V}_{33} = V_{33} - J V_{44}^{-1} J^T$.

So the parameter d will be

$$d = \frac{2g\sqrt{m_2 n_2}}{\sqrt{1 + 2g(m_1 + n_2) - \frac{4g^2 m_1 m_2}{1+2gm_2}} \sqrt{1 + 2g(m_2 + n_1) - \frac{4g^2 n_1 n_2}{1+2gn_2}}} \quad (4-33)$$

corollary I Let in a graph the parameters $m_1 = n_1 = 0$ and $m_2 = m, n_2 = n$, So the graph has only two subsets. From theorem I, all of these kinds of graphs with the complete connections between two parts, have the same Schmidt number and the same entanglement entropy. Their parameter d are

$$d = \frac{2g\sqrt{mn}}{\sqrt{(1 + 2gm)(1 + 2gn)}} \quad (4-34)$$

corollary II Suppose two graphs with the same numbers m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 and in their adjacency matrices, the blocks V_{12} are complete graphs (i.e. they are $J_{m_1 \times m_2}$), and the other blocks except V_{11} are the same. So their bipartite entanglement entropies will be identical. This corollary is satisfied, for the case that in two graphs, the blocks V_{34} are complete graph and the blocks V_{44} are different, and the other blocks are the same.

4.2 examples

Example I: Complete Graph

In this example, we consider the N -vertex complete graph K_N , then we separate it into two parts with the vertices m , $N - m$. we can calculate the entanglement entropy and Schmidt number by using corollary I. The parameter d is

$$d_{m,N-m} = \frac{2g\sqrt{m(N-m)}}{\sqrt{1+2mg}\sqrt{1+2g(N-m)}} \quad (4-35)$$

Example II: Path-Graph We consider the entanglement entropy in the path graph with even N vertices. We choose two equal parts from it with $n = \frac{N}{2}$ vertices. So, the blocks of potential matrix, according to (3-5) are:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2g & -2g & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -2g & 1+4g & -2g & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -2g & 1+4g & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -2g & 1+4g \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -2g & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-36)$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1+4g & -2g & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -2g & 1+4g & -2g & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -2g & 1+4g & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -2g & 1+2g \end{pmatrix}$$

By applying $n - 1$ generalized schur complement steps from (4-24), the schmidt number can be calculated

$$d = \frac{2g}{1+4g - \frac{4g^2}{1+4g - \frac{4g^2}{1+4g - \frac{4g^2}{1+4g - \dots \frac{4g^2}{1+2g}}}}} = \frac{Q_{n-1}(x)}{Q_n(x)} \quad (4-37)$$

The parameter x defined as $x = 2 + \frac{1}{2g}$ And Q_n defined recurrently by

$$Q_0(x) = 1, \quad Q_1(x) = x - 1$$

$$Q_n(x) = xQ_{n-1}(x) - Q_{n-2}(x) \quad (4-38)$$

for $n \geq 2$. Where above polynomial can be shown that is the second type Tchebichef polynomials as following

$$Q_n(\theta) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \quad (4-39)$$

By replacing in equation (4 – 36), we can obtain

$$x = 2 \cos \theta \quad (4-40)$$

So,

$$Q_n(\theta) = \frac{\sin(n+1) \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}}{\sin \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}} \quad (4-41)$$

By definition $Q_n = U_n(\frac{x}{2})$ as Tchebichef polynomials, the Schmidt number can be written as following

$$d_n = \frac{U_{n-1}(1 + \frac{1}{4g})}{U_n(1 + \frac{1}{4g})} \quad (4-42)$$

Example III: Barbell graph:

The n-Barbell graph is the simple graph obtained by connecting two copies of complete graph K_n by a bridge. The generalized Barbell graph can be obtained by connecting two complete graph K_{l_1} and K_{l_2} by a bridge. In this figure, two complete graphs K_3 and K_4 are coalesced. This graph is an example which satisfies the theorem I.




FIG III: A generalized Barbell graph which is compound of K_3 and K_4 .

The graph in FIG IV, is another example of graphs which satisfies the Theorem I. It is the coalescence of two star graphs. In the following figure two star graphs (S_3 and S_4) are coalesced.




FIG IV: A graph which is compound of S_3 and S_4 . This graph satisfies theorem I.

example IV: lollipop graph

An example for corollary II, is *lollipop graph*. The (m, n) lollipop graph is the graph obtained by joining a complete graph K_m to a path graph P_n with a bridge. In this figure, a complete graphs K_5 and path graph P_4 are coalesced.




FIG V: The Lollipop graph which is compound of K_5 and P_4 .

The adjacency matrix of a lollipop(m, n) is in the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{m-1 \times m-1} & J_{m-1 \times 1} & 0 & 0 \\ J_{1 \times m-1} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & A_{p(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-43)$$

So the potential matrix will be

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + 2gm)I - 2gJ & -2gJ_{m-1 \times 1} & 0 & 0 \\ -2gJ_{1 \times m-1} & 1 + 2gm & -2g & 0 \\ 0 & -2g & 1 + 2g(2) & -2g \\ 0 & 0 & -2g & p(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-44)$$

Where $p(n)$ is as following.

$$p(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 4g & -2g & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -2g & 1 + 4g & -2g & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -2g & 1 + 4g & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -2g \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -2g & 1 + 2g \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-45)$$

Again by using Schur complement method for this graph, the potential matrix is transformed to

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + 2gm)I_{m-1 \times m-1} - 2gJ_{m-1 \times m-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{V}_{22} & -2g & 0 \\ 0 & -2g & C(n) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-46)$$

Where

$$D(n-1) = \begin{pmatrix} C(n-1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & C(2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & C(1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4-47)$$

And

$$C(n) = 1 + 4g - \frac{4g^2}{1 + 4g - \frac{4g^2}{1 + 4g - \frac{4g^2}{1 + 4g - \dots \frac{4g^2}{1 + 2g}}}} \quad (4-48)$$

from (4.41), $C(n)$ is

$$C(n) = 2g \frac{U_n(1 + \frac{1}{4g})}{U_{n-1}(1 + \frac{1}{4g})} \quad (4-49)$$

And

$$\widetilde{V}_{22} = 1 + 2gm - \frac{4g^2(1 + 2g)(m-1)}{(1 + 2mg)(1 + 2g)} - \frac{4g^2(2g)(m-1)^2}{(1 + 2mg)(1 + 2g)} \quad (4-50)$$

So the parameter d will be

$$d = \frac{2g}{\sqrt{C(n-1)}\sqrt{\widetilde{V}_{22}}} \quad (4-51)$$

The graph in FIG VI will be obtained by joining a star graph S_m to a path graph P_n with a bridge. It's entanglement entropy is equal to lollipop graph (compound of K_m and P_n) from the corollary II.




FIG VI: A graph which is compound of S_5 and P_4 .

5 Conductance and bipartite entanglement

By considering the all partitions of graph into two parts, suppose we have m vertices in the smaller part and $N - m$ vertices in the other part. Then the conductance is

$$\alpha(G) = \min_{m \leq \frac{N}{2}} \frac{|E(m, n)|}{m} \quad (5-52)$$

Where $|E(m, n)|$ is the number of connections (edges) between two parts.

5.1 Example I: Complete graph

$$\alpha(G) = \min_{m \leq \frac{N}{2}} \frac{|E(m, n)|}{m} = \min_{m \leq \frac{N}{2}} \frac{m(N - m)}{m} = \min_{m \leq \frac{N}{2}} N - m = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{2} & \text{even } N \\ \frac{N+1}{2} & \text{odd } N \end{cases} \quad (5-53)$$

This partition gives the maximum entropy of entanglement in complete graph.

By calculating entanglement entropy, we have The Schmidt number:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n=1, N-1} &= \frac{2g\sqrt{N-1}}{\sqrt{1+2g}\sqrt{1+2g(N-1)}} \\ d_{n=2, N-2} &= \frac{2g\sqrt{2(N-2)}}{\sqrt{1+4g}\sqrt{1+2g(N-2)}} \\ &\vdots \\ d_{n=m, N-m} &= \frac{2g\sqrt{m(N-m)}}{\sqrt{1+2mg}\sqrt{1+2g(N-m)}} \end{aligned} \quad (5-54)$$

After calculating entropy, we have

$N=Odd$

$$S_{n=1, N-1} < S_{n=2, N-2} < \dots < S_{n=\frac{N-1}{2}, \frac{N+1}{2}} \quad (5-55)$$

$N=Even$

$$S_{n=1} = S_{n=N-1} < S_{n=2} = S_{n=N-2} < \dots < S_{n=\frac{N}{2}} \quad (5-56)$$

In complete graph, the partition that has maximum entanglement entropy, has minimum conductance number.

5.2 Example II: Path graph

In the path graph (with even number of vertices), the conductance will be achieved when half of neighbor vertices are in one part and the other neighbor vertices are in the other part.

$$\alpha(G) = \frac{1}{\frac{N}{2}} = \frac{2}{N} \quad (5-57)$$




FIG VII: The Path graph with even number of vertices. In this partitioning the half of vertices are in one part, and the rest of vertices are in the other part.

It can be shown that this partition gives the minimum entropy of entanglement with respect to all kinds of partitions of path graph.

5.3 Example III: Star graph

In the general star graphs with N vertices, different partitions give the conductance: The number of i vertices ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{N}{2}$ for even N and $\frac{N-1}{2}$ for odd N), are in one part and the central vertex and the other vertices are in other part.

The conductance of graph is

$$\alpha(G) = 1 \quad (5-58)$$

All of these partitions satisfy the theorem I. Suppose i vertices are in one part, and the central vertex and the other vertices are in the other part. So $m_1 = 0, m_2 = i, n_2 = 1, n_1 = N - i - 1$ and the parameter d from (4-33) is

$$d = \frac{2g\sqrt{i}}{\sqrt{(1+2g)(1+2g(N-1)) - 4g^2(N-i-1)}} \quad (5-59)$$

So the parameter $\alpha(G)$ is the same for $\frac{N}{2}$ kinds of partitions, but the entanglement entropy between two parts, are different for all of these partitions.




FIG VIII: All kinds of partitioning in S_4 graph. Two different partition gives the conductance,

But their entanglement entropies are not the same. $S(\rho_{S_4(1)}) > S(\rho_{S_4(2)}) > S(\rho_{S_4(3)})$

5.4 Example IV: kite graph

In the Kite graph, the conductance will be $\alpha(G) = \frac{3}{2}$ when two neighbor vertices are in one part and the other two neighbor vertices are in the other part. The entanglement entropy for this partition is not maximum, also it is not minimum.




FIG IX: All kinds of partitioning in Kite graph. The conductance is for partitioning *Kite(2)*

The entanglement entropies are $S(\rho_{Kite(1)}) > S(\rho_{Kite(2)}) > S(\rho_{Kite(3)}) > S(\rho_{Kite(4)})$

5.5 Example V: Square graph

In the Square graph, the conductance will be $\alpha(G) = 1$ when two neighbor vertices are in one part and the other two neighbor vertices are in the other part.

The entanglement entropy for Square graph is maximum when two vertices in the diameter of square are in a part, So it is bipartite complete graph with number of vertices: $m = 2, n = 2$. The entanglement entropy for Square graph is minimum when one of vertices is in one part and the other vertices are in the other part.

The entanglement entropy for partition which gives the conductance, is not maximum or minimum.




FIG X: All kinds of partitioning in Square graph. The conductance is for partitioning *Square(2)*

The entanglement entropies are $S(\rho_{Square(1)}) > S(\rho_{Square(2)}) > S(\rho_{Square(3)})$

The amount of entanglement entropies in the examples of this section show that the entanglement entropy has direction relation with the number of connections between two parts.

When two kinds of partitions have the same number of connections between two parts, the entanglement entropy has direction relation with the number of Schmidt numbers for those partitions.

6 Investigation of entanglement entropy in the limit of large coupling and large size of the system

6.1 entanglement entropy in the limit of large size of the system

We want to study the behavior of entropy of entanglement in (4.33), when m_2 and $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$.

So

$$\lim_{m_2, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} d = 1 \quad (6-60)$$

Therefore the parameter $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ and finally the entropy $S(\rho) \rightarrow \infty$.

Also we are interested in the case that m_1 and n_1 tends to infinite.

$$\lim_{m_1, n_1 \rightarrow \infty} d = 0 \quad (6-61)$$

In this case, the parameter $\nu \rightarrow 1$, so the entropy of entanglement tends to zero.

Suppose that the total size of the system tends to infinite, So $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty$

In this case $d \simeq \frac{2g\sqrt{m_2 n_2}}{\sqrt{2g n_2} \sqrt{2g m_2}} \rightarrow 1$. Therefore the parameter $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ and also $S(\rho) \rightarrow \infty$.

6.2 Large coupling limit

We want to investigate large coupling limit in (4-33). So we can write

$$\frac{4g^2 n_1 n_2}{1 + 2g n_2} \simeq 2g n_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2g n_2}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{4g^2 m_1 m_2}{1 + 2g m_2} \simeq 2g m_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2g m_2}\right)$$

So

$$d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1 + \frac{n_1}{n_2}}{2gm_2}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2}}{2gn_2}}} \cong 1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \quad (6-62)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1 + \frac{n_1}{n_2}}{2gm_2} + \frac{1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2}}{2gn_2} = \frac{N}{4gm_2n_2}$$

By using definition (3-19), we have

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)^2}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \quad (6-63)$$

The entanglement entropy from (3-15) is

$$\begin{aligned} S(\rho) &= \frac{v}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{v}) \log \frac{v}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{v}) - \frac{v}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{v}) \log \frac{v}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{v}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((v+1)(\log \frac{v}{2} + \frac{1}{v})) - \frac{1}{2}((v-1)(\log \frac{v}{2} - \frac{1}{v})) \end{aligned} \quad (6-64)$$

So

$$S(\rho) = \log \frac{v}{2} + 1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{gm_2n_2}{N} + 1 \quad (6-65)$$

Where m_2n_2 is the size of the boundary and N is the size of the system.

7 A special case that one vertex is in the first subset and the other vertices are in the second subset. Comparison of our result with the previous works

Cardillo et al in [16] introduced the use of entanglement entropy as a tool for studying the amount of information stored in quantum complex networks.

By considering the ground state of a network of coupled quantum harmonic oscillators, they computed the information that each node has on the rest of the system. To this aim,

they considered the partition of the network into a node, say i , and its complement i_c , i.e. the rest of the network. In their paper, they asserted that the marginal entropies for i and i_c read:

$$S_i = S_{i_c} = (\mu_i + 1/2)\log(\mu_i + 1/2) - (\mu_i - 1/2)\log(\mu_i - 1/2) \quad (7-66)$$

which they show that the parameter μ_i is

$$\mu_i^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,j'} S_{ij}^2 S_{ij'}^2 \left(\frac{1 + 2g\lambda_j}{1 + 2g\lambda'_j} \right)^{1/2} \quad (7-67)$$

where λ_j are the eigenvalues of the network Laplacian L and matrix S accounts for the normal mode transformation that diagonalizes the network Laplacian: $L_d = S^T L S$ with $S^T S = I$. It's clear that the matrix S is not unique, So the above equation for entropy has not a unique amount. It is easy to show that the above equation for the entropy may be written in terms of Laplacian:

$$\mu_i^2 = \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2gL)_{ii} ((1 + 2gL)^{-1})_{ii} = \frac{1}{4} V_{ii} V_{ii}^{-1} \quad (7-68)$$

where

$$V = I + 2gL = SDS^T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix} \quad (7-69)$$

is the potential of system, A is a scalar(number), B is a column matrix and finally C is a $n \times n$ matrix. We can write: $V_{11} = (1 + 2gL)_{11} = 1 + 2gd_1$

from the Schur complement it's clear that

$$V_{11}^{-1} = (A - BC^{-1}B^T)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1 + 2gd_1) - BC^{-1}B^T}$$

$$\mu_1^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1 + 2gd_1}{(1 + 2gd_1) - BC^{-1}B^T} \quad (7-70)$$

In the our method, we apply three stage to compute the entanglement entropy. We started with In order to diagonalize the $I + 2gL$, we should apply the local rotations O_A and O_C to the system. But the matrix A is a number, so the $O_A = I$. after stage 1 the matrix V will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & BO_C \\ O_C^\dagger B^T & D_C \end{pmatrix} \quad (7-71)$$

Then the matrices A and C must transform to Identity. So after transformation the V , will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & D_A^{-1/2} B O_C D_C^{-1/2} \\ (D_A^{-1/2} B O_C^\dagger D_C^{-1/2})^T & I \end{pmatrix} \quad (7-72)$$

By calculation of the singular value decomposition of column matrix, in this stage we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{11}}} \|B O_C D_C^{-1/2}\| & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{11}}} \|(B O_C D_C^{-1/2})^T\| & & & & \\ 0 & & I & & \\ \dots & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (7-73)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is a kind of norm. So the parameter ν is

$$\nu_i^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B O_C D_C^{-1/2} D_C^{-1/2} O_C^T B^T}{A_{11}}} = \frac{A_{11}}{A_{11} - B C^{-1} B^T} = \frac{1 + 2gd_1}{(1 + 2gd_1) - B C^{-1} B^T} \quad (7-74)$$

So the relation between μ and ν is

$$\nu = 2\mu$$

and the entropy is the same with (7-65)

$$S(\rho) = \frac{\nu+1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) - \frac{\nu-1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right) \quad (7-75)$$

8 Conclusion

The entanglement entropy is obtained between two parts in the quantum networks that their nodes are considered as quantum harmonic oscillators. The generalized Schur complement method is used to calculate the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy between two parts of graph.

Analytically, the Schmidt number can be calculated for special graphs which their connections between parts are complete, i.e. all graphs which their connections between each two parts

are complete, have the same entropy (They may have every kinds of connections into each subsets). We proved it in theorem I. Some examples are given that the entanglement entropy and Schmidt number are calculated analytically in there. More, the relationship between size of the boundary of strata and entanglement entropy is obtained in the limit of large coupling. Also the behavior of entanglement entropy is investigated when the size of system tends infinite.

We investigated the conductance of graph and entanglement entropy for some kinds of graphs. Finally, we compare the results of our method with the results of previous works in special case that one vertex is in the first subset and the other vertices are in the second subset. One expects that the entanglement entropy and Schmidt numbers can be used for distinguishing non-isomorphism in two cospectral graphs.

References

- [1] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 661 (1991).
- [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crpeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993).
- [3] R. Raussendorf, H. J. Briegel, Phys Rev. Lett. 86, 5188 (2001).
- [4] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81:865-942, (2009).
- [5] M. B. Plenio, S. Virmani, Quantum Inf. Comput. 7, 1 (2007).
- [6] M. J. Donald, M. Horodecki, and O. Rudolph, J. Math. Phys. 43, 42524272 (2002).
- [7] J. Sperling, W. Vogel, Phys. Scr. 83, 045002 (2011).
- [8] Y. Guo and H. Fan, Quant-ph: 1304.1950 (2013).

- [9] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, V. Vedral, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 80, 517-576 (2008).
- [10] J. M. Matera, R. Rossignoli, and N. Canosa, *Phys. Rev. A* 86, 062324 (2012).
- [11] G. Adesso, S. Ragy, A. R. Lee, *Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.* 21, 1440001 (2014).
- [12] O. Cernotk and J. Fiurek, *Phys. Rev. A* 89, 042331 (2014).
- [13] G. Adesso and S. Piano, *Phys. Rev. Lett* 112, 010401 (2014).
- [14] F. Nicacio and M. C. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. A* 89, 012336 (2014).
- [15] D. Buono, G. Nocerino, S. Solimeno and A. Porzio, *Laser Phys.* 24 074008 (2014).
- [16] A. Cardillo, F. Galve, D. Zueco, J. G. Gardenes, *Phys. Rev. A* 87, 052312 (2013).
- [17] F. Zhang, *The Schur Complement and Its Applications*, Springer, (2005).